MERRYWOOD ELEMENTARY 100 Merrywood Road Greenwood, South Carolina 29649 PK-5 Elementary School GRADES 508 Students ENROLLMENT Patricia D. Vahjen 864-941-5700 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT William P. Steed, Ed.D. 864-941-5400 Ms. Dru James 864-223-1878 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: G00D Absolute Ratings of Elementary Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 8 58 28 0 0 IMPROVEMENT RATING: UNSATISFACTORY ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: This school met 17 out of 17 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT:

WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM
WWW.SCEOC.ORG

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Good	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2002	Good	Below Average	N/A
2003	Good	Below Average	No
2004	Good	Unsatisfactory	Yes

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

PERCENT OF STUDENT RECORDS MATCHED FOR PURPOSES OF COMPUTING IMPROVEMENT RATING

Percent of students tested in 2003-04 whose 2002-03 test scores were located.

62.8%

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT)

Our School

Elementary Schools with Students like Ours



Mathematics

English/Language Arts

Mathematics

English/Language Arts

Definition of Critical Terms

Advanced Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded

expectations

Proficient Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Below Basic Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local

board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP									
	Enrollment 1st	/	/ %	/	/ °`	/	% Proficient and	Performance Objective	Participation Objective M.
All Students	h/Langua 246	ge Arts - 8	State Peri 20.6	ormance 39.5	Objective 35.6	= 17.6% 4.3	52.4	Yes	Yes
Gender	240	100.0	20.6	39.5	33.0	4.3	52.4	res	res
Male	123	100.0	27.4	34.2	32.5	6.0	53.0		
Female	123	100.0	13.8	44.8	38.8	2.6	51.7		
Racial/Ethnic Group	120	100.0	10.0	44.0	30.0	2.0	51.7		
White	108	100.0	3.7	35.5	53.3	7.5	72.0	Yes	Yes
African-American	125	100.0	35.1	41.2	21.9	1.8	34.2	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islanders	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	12	100.0	36.4	54.5	9.1	0.0	45.5	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not disabled	207	100.0	19.4	40.3	37.2	3.1	52.6		
Disabled	39	100.0	27.0	35.1	27.0	10.8	51.4	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S		
Non-migrant	245	100.0	20.3	39.7	35.8	4.3	52.6		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	237	100.0	19.6	39.1	36.9	4.4	53.3		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	142	100.0	33.1	44.6	20.8	1.5	34.6	Yes	Yes
Full-pay meals	104	100.0	4.9	33.0	54.4	7.8	74.8		

Mathematics - State Performance Objective = 15.5%										
All Students	246	100.0	18.9	48.5	18.9	13.7	45.1	Yes	Yes	
Gender										
Male	123	100.0	21.4	43.6	19.7	15.4	47.9			
Female	123	100.0	16.4	53.4	18.1	12.1	42.2			
Racial/Ethnic Group										
White	108	100.0	4.7	38.3	30.8	26.2	72.0	Yes	Yes	
African-American	125	100.0	29.8	59.6	7.9	2.6	20.2	Yes	Yes	
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	
Hispanic	12	100.0	45.5	27.3	18.2	9.1	36.4	I/S	I/S	
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S	
Disability Status										
Not disabled	207	100.0	15.3	50.0	19.4	15.3	48.5			
Disabled	39	100.0	37.8	40.5	16.2	5.4	27.0	I/S	I/S	
Migrant Status										
Migrant	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S			
Non-migrant	245	100.0	18.5	48.7	19.0	13.8	45.3			
English Proficiency	English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	
Non-Limited English Proficient	237	100.0	17.3	48.9	19.6	14.2	46.2			
Socio-Economic Status										
Subsidized meals	142	100.0	30.8	56.9	9.2	3.1	22.3	Yes	Yes	
Full-pay meals	104	100.0	3.9	37.9	31.1	27.2	73.8			

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

Merrywood Elementary										
PACT PERFO	RMANC	E BY GR	ADE LE	VEL				ı		
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced	7		
		Englis	sh/Langua							
Grade 3	93	98.9	10.7	45.2	39.3	4.8	44.0			
Grade 4	84	96.4	24.3	41.9	31.1	2.7	33.8			
Grade 5	84	100.0	25.0	41.7	30.6	2.8	33.3			
Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 3	84	100.0	11.1	38.3	42.0	8.6	50.6			
Grade 4	79	100.0	19.0	44.3	36.7	N/A	36.7			
Grade 5	87	100.0	30.4	48.1	21.5	N/A	21.5			
Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	•		Mathemat	ics		'				
Grade 3	93	100.0	14.1	51.8	20.0	14.1	34.1			
Grade 4	84	100.0	16.9	53.2	16.9	13.0	29.9			
Grade 5	84	100.0	19.4	40.3	26.4	13.9	40.3			
Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
A Crada 2	0.4	100.0	16.0	60 F	14.8	0.6	22.5			
Grade 3 Grade 4	84 79	100.0	16.0 17.7	60.5 41.8	24.1	8.6 16.5	23.5 40.5			
Grade 5	87	100.0	24.1	46.8	15.2	13.9	29.1			
Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	29.1 N/A			
Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A N/A			
Graue o	IN/A	13/74	11//1	11/74	11/7	IN/A	11/74			

	Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students	Median Elementary
Students (n= 508)			Like Ours	School
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	95.6%	N/C	100.0%	100.0%
Retention rate	3.1%	Down from 5.4%	3.0%	2.7%
Attendance rate Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (ELA) off grade level	96.8% 6.9%	Up from 96.0%	96.3% 4.9%	96.4% 4.6%
Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (Math) off grade level	5.3%		3.6%	3.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented	19.9%	Down from 23.6%	15.4%	13.5%
On academic plans On academic probation	N/AV N/AV	N/AV N/AV	N/A N/A	N/AV N/AV
With disabilities other than speech	10.0%	Down from 11.6%	9.7%	8.2%
Older than usual for grade	2.8%	Down from 3.6%	0.9%	0.9%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 41)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	46.3% 82.9%	Up from 42.5% Down from 95.0%	51.8% 90.9%	51.4% 87.5%
Highly qualified teachers**	97.1%	N/A	94.1%	95.0%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%
Teachers returning from previous year Teacher attendance rate	83.9% 93.1%	Down from 84.0% Down from 93.9%	87.9% 95.1%	86.7% 94.9%
Average teacher salary Prof. development days/teacher	\$38,884 13.3 days	Down 1.1% Up from 9.2 days	\$40,868 12.3 days	\$40,760 12.4 days
School				
Principal's years at school	5.0	Up from 4.0	4.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	17.4 to 1	Down from 18.6 to 1	19.3 to 1	18.9 to 1
Prime instructional time	88.7% \$6,378	Down from 89.1% Down 5.0%	90.3% \$5,867	90.0% \$6,044
Dollars spent per pupil* Percent of expenditures for teacher	66.7%	Up from 66.3%	65.7%	65.9%
salaries*	00.7 /6	Op 110111 00.3 /6	03.7 /0	03.970
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	99.7% Yes	Up from 97.9% No change	99.0% Yes	99.0% Yes
Character development program * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Average	N/A	Good	Good
The year addition interior and are reported.		Our District	5	State
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty	schools**	92.3%	9	2.0%
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty		N/A	9	1.1%
		State Objective	e Met Sta	te Objective
Highly qualified teachers in this school**	•	65.0%		Yes
Student attendance in this school		95.3%		Yes

^{**}NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Merrywood Elementary's 2003-2004 school year was characterized by academic growth and strong student achievement. It was a year of contemplation, evaluation, prioritizing, and change. The year was especially challenging for the staff because of reductions in personnel and the elimination of programs due to budget cuts. Through teamwork and flexibility, the staff remained committed to the mission of Merrywood Elementary.

Students learning to read and students reading to learn remain at the forefront of our instructional plan. Two literacy teachers, funded through Title 1, worked with students and teachers to provide quality differentiated instruction. In kindergarten and first grade, the literacy teacher "pushed in" to the classrooms to become a "second teacher" in the classroom. This allowed both teachers to concentrate on small, flexible reading groups utilizing leveled texts. The literacy teacher "pulled out" students in grades three, four, and five during the gifted and resource time in the class's schedule. This allowed the classroom teacher to work with a small group of students who needed intense instruction in reading.

The Measures of Academic Progress (MAP) was administered in January to 2nd-5th grade students. This computerized test provided immediate feedback on student achievement and growth. MAP results allowed teachers to assess student progress and make changes midyear in their instructional plans. It provided a dimension of flexibility to our instructional program that challenged us to meet the instructional needs of our students.

Merrywood parents have been very supportive of our students and our teachers. Their support and attention to our staff and children provide "warm fuzzies" throughout the school year. Through a number of wide-ranging activities (Carnival, Readorama, hospitality, snacks and meals, etc.), they brought excitement, laughter, and smiles to Merrywood. The school year 2003-2004 was a year of challenges. With students, parents, teachers, and staff working together, it was a great year!

Patricia D. Vahjen, Principal

Margaret Ficklin, SIC Chairman

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS								
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*					
Number of surveys returned	43	64	43					
Percent satisfied with learning environment	100.0%	81.3%	92.9%					
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	95.2%	85.9%	86.0%					
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	90.7%	90.6%	76.7%					

*Only students at the highest elementary school grade level at this school and their parents were included.